MOHAVE EDUCATIONAL SERVICES COOPERATIVE, INC.

Procurement Compliance Questionnaire

For Calendar Year End December 31, 2015

INSTRUCTIONS

Arizona Revised Statutes (A.RS.) §15-213(F) requires school purchasing cooperatives to have, as part of their audit, a systematic review of their purchasing practices using guidelines that the Office of the Auditor General established. The review's purpose is to determine whether the cooperative is in compliance with the State's procurement laws and applicable rules in awarding contracts for school districts to use. Cooperatives meet this requirement by having their audit firm complete this questionnaire using the guidelines specified below. As required by A.R.S. §15-213(E), procurement review contracts and completed Procurement Compliance Questionnaires must be submitted for the Office of the Auditor General's review. This questionnaire is applicable for audits of fiscal years ending June 30, 2015, and thereafter. The Office of the Auditor General may reject reviews not meeting these guidelines:

- Sufficient, appropriate evidence must be obtained annually for each question to satisfactorily determine whether the cooperative is in compliance with the State's procurement laws and applicable rules, and the evidence must be included in the audit documentation.
- Evidence may be obtained through test work, observation, examination, and client assertion. However, client assertion alone is not adequate evidence to support "Yes" answers to the questionnaire.
- Population size should be considered in determining the number of items to test, and the items selected should be representative of the population. However, the minimum number of items to test has been specified for each question.
- The sample size should be expanded if the audit firm cannot clearly determine whether the cooperative is in compliance with the State's procurement laws and applicable rules on that question.
- If sufficient evidence has been obtained and documented during the current audit, that evidence may be referenced to answer questions.
- A "Yes" answer indicates that the audit firm has determined that the cooperative is in compliance
 with the State's procurement laws and applicable rules on that question, and a "No" answer
 indicates the cooperative does not comply. However, the Office of the Auditor General makes the
 final determination of compliance on each question, as well as overall compliance, based on the
 evidence presented in the questionnaire, audit reports, audit documentation, and any other sources
 of information available.
- All "No" answers must be adequately explained in the comments column or in an attachment. Deficiencies must be described in sufficient detail to enable the Office of the Auditor General to determine the nature and significance of the deficiency and to describe the deficiency in a letter. The description should include the number of items tested and the number of exceptions noted.
- All "NIA" answers must be adequately explained in the comments column.

The resulting audit documentation supporting the audit firm's answers on the questionnaire must be made available on request for the Office of the Auditor General's review. To facilitate this review, the audit firm may wish to include in the audit documentation a copy of the questionnaire with references to the audit procedures performed for each question.

4/15 Page 1 of 4

For question 1: The audit firm must select and test the lesser of 50 percent or 15 of the cooperative's contracts awarded during the fiscal year to be used by Arizona school district, but no fewer than 5 awarded contracts. All contracts awarded for like items should be considered a single contract in applying the sample size. These sample sizes represent the minimum level of required test work. Audit firms should use their professional judgment in determining whether a larger sample is needed.

In the space provided in each question, indicate the actual number of contracts tested. If all contracts are tested, indicate such in the "Comments" column. Of the total awarded contracts selected for testing, at least 40 percent must be of purchases made through competitive sealed bids and at least 40 percent must be of purchases made through competitive sealed proposals. If the 40 percent thresholds cannot be met due to an inadequate population size, the audit firm must test all contracts awarded through competitive sealed bids or proposals, as applicable. Of the contracts selected above, at least one awarded contract should be for traditional construction (design-bid-build), and at least one for construction-manager-at-risk, design-build, job-order-contracting, or qualified select bidders list, if applicable.

	YES/NO	COMMENTS
1. Based upon review of (7) contracts for the procurement of construction, materials, and services that exceeded \$100,000, did the cooperative follow the School District Procurement Rules (R7-2-1001 et acc)?		
1001 et seq)?	Yes	
a. For (7) contracts awarded through competitive sealed bidding or competitive sealed proposals, did the cooperative:		
(1) Give adequate notice of the invitation for bid (IFB) or request for proposal (RFP)? R7-2-1022 or R7-2-1042(C)	Yes	
(2) Compile and maintain a list of persons who requested to be added to a list of prospective bidders, if any? R7-2-1023	Yes	
(3) Issue the IFB or RFP at least 14 days before the due date and time set for bid or proposals, as applicable, unless a shorter time was determined necessary? R7-2-1024(A) or R7-2-1042(B)	Yes	
(4) Include all required information in the IFB or RFP? (Note: If	· -	
the answer is "No," the "Comments" should specifically		
indicate which requirements were not complied with.) R7-2-		
1024(B) or R7-2-1042(A)	Yes	
(5) Stamp sealed bids or proposals with the time and date upon receipt and store bids or proposals unopened until the due date and time set for opening? R7-2-1029 or R7-2-1045	Yes	
(6) If a multiple award was made for the IFB or RFP:		
i. Did the cooperative establish and follow procedures for the use of multiple award contracts? R7-2-1031(D) and R7-2-1050(C)	Yes	
ii. Did the cooperative include in the solicitation(s) notification that the multiple contracts may be awarded, the cooperatives basis for determining whether to award multiple contracts, and the criteria for selecting vendors for the multiple contracts? R7-2-1031(C) and R7-2-1050(B)	Vos	
	<u>Yes</u>	
iii. Determine, with the specific reason(s) in writing, that a single award was not advantageous to the cooperative's members and retain documentation that supported the basis for a multiple award? R7-2-1031(D)	Yes	
iv. Limit contract awards to the least number of suppliers necessary to meet the requirements of the members? R7-2-1031(D) and R7-2-1050(C)	Yes	

4/15 Page 2 of 4

	YES/NO	COMMENTS
(7) For contracts where only one responsive bid or proposal was received, determine that the price submitted was fair and reasonable, and that either other prospective offerors had reasonable opportunity to respond or there was not adequate time for resolicitation, and retain documentation that supported the basis for the determination? R7-2-1032 or R7-2-1045(C)	NI/A	The cooperative did not have any procurement in the current year with only one responsive
b. For (4) contracts awarded through competitive sealed bidding, did the cooperative award the contracts to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder whose bid conformed, in all material respects, to the requirements and evaluation criteria set forth in the IFB? (Note: If the answer is "No" the "Comments" should specifically indicate which requirements were not complied with.) R7-2-1031	N/A Yes	bidder.
c. For (3) contracts awarded through competitive sealed proposals, did the cooperative award the contract to the offeror whose proposal was determined, with specific reason(s) in writing, to be most advantageous to the cooperative's members based on the factors set forth in the RFP and retain documentation that supported the determination? R7-2-1050	Yes	
2. Did the cooperative have signed conflict-of-interest disclosures filed for any employee or nonemployee evaluation committee members? R7-2-1008 and R7-2-1015	Yes	
3. If the cooperative used a qualified select bidders list to procure construction services, did the cooperative comply with requirements of R7-2-1101?	N/A	The cooperative did not use a qualified select bidders list to
4. If the cooperative used construction-manager-at-risk, design-build, or job-order contracting to procure construction services, did the cooperative comply with the requirements of R7-2-1100 through R7-2-1115?	Yes	procure services.
5. If the cooperative procured goods and services using reverse auctions or electronic bidding, did the cooperative comply with the requirements of R7-2-1018, R7-2-1021, or R7-2-1041?	NI/A	The cooperative did not procure any goods or services using reverse auctions or online
6. For purchases made through the Simplified School Construction Procurement Program, did the cooperative follow the requirements of R7-2-1033? (Note: If the answer is "No," the "Comments" should specifically indicate which requirements were not complied with.)	N/A	electronic bidding. The cooperative did not make any purchases through the Simplified School Construction Procurement
7. If the cooperative used multi-term contracts for any of the contracts tested in question 1:	N/A	Program
a. Were the Terms and conditions of renewal or extension, if any, included in the IFB or RFP? A.R.S. §15-213(K) and R7-2-1093	Yes	

4/15 Page 3 of 4

YES/NO	COMMENTS	
N/A	The cooperative did not enter into any contracts for greater than 5 years.	
14/11	than 5 years.	
Yes		
Yes		
e procureme	ents, the audit firm	
r	,	
N/A	The cooperative did not make any emergency procurements.	
N/A	The cooperative did not make any sole source procurements.	
hed by the	Office of the Auditor	
April 14, 2016		
	ate	
Prin	cipal	
	tle	
	N/A Yes Yes Print	

4/15 Page 4 of 4